

Species: TENNESSEE WARBLER

Record No. 647-82-19

Location: EUGENE, LANE CO.

Date(s): MAY 1, 1982

Observers	details	slides	prints	tapes
LARRY MCQUEEN	A			

Specimen no. & location \_\_\_\_\_

7-1 ON RECONSIDERATION.

Accepted \_\_\_\_\_

Not accepted \_\_\_\_\_

verified \_\_\_\_\_

origin questionable \_\_\_\_\_

Single rept. sighting

exotic not estab. \_\_\_\_\_

Multiple rept. sighting \_\_\_\_\_

Records split \_\_\_\_\_

Records combined \_\_\_\_\_

**Disposition**

Secretary's File

Duplicate File

Main File A

details A

Slide File \_\_\_\_\_

slides \_\_\_\_\_

Print File \_\_\_\_\_

prints \_\_\_\_\_

Tape File \_\_\_\_\_

tapes \_\_\_\_\_

Date observer(s) notified: NOV. 1, 1982

642-82-19/A

1982-23

rec'd 5-3-82

RECORDS COMMITTEE  
REPORT FORM

Oregon Bird Records Committee  
P.O. Box 10373  
Eugene, Ore.  
97440

This form is intended as a convenience in reporting observations of unusual birds. It may be used flexibly and need not be used at all. Leave blank any details not observed. Attach additional sheets if necessary. Please print or type. Attach drawings, photographs, etc.; include all photos if an obscurely marked species. When completed, mail to the Secretary of the Oregon Records Committee.

1. Common and scientific name: Tennessee Warbler *Vermivora peregrina*
2. Number of individuals, sexes, ages, general plumage (e.g. 2 ad. ♂ in breeding plumage): ad. spring
3. Locality: Eugene Co.; Lane; Hendrick's Park; elevation: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Date(s) when seen: 1 May 82
5. Times of day when seen: mid-day.
6. Reporting observer and address: L. B. McQueen, 2175 Agate St. Eugene, OR 97403
7. Other observers accompanying reporter who also identified the bird:
8. Other observers who independently identified the bird:
9. Light conditions (position of bird in relation to shade and to direction and amount of light): Somewhat back-lighted, but bright conditions under canopy of trees. High sun, clear skies.
10. Optical equipment (type, power, condition): Bushnell 9X35 bins.
11. Distance to bird: about 20 ft.?
12. Duration of observation: various glimpses for several minutes as bird
13. Habitat (general and specific): flitted around. mixed coniferous - deciduous. Bird was in maples - leaves less than 1/2 developed.
14. Behavior (flying, feeding, resting, etc.; include and stress any habits used in identification): actively feeding. Flitted erratically as warblers do, gradually working its way at a greater distance from me. Would not respond to my "whistling" and owl-noises.
15. Description (Include only what was actually seen, not what "should" have been seen. Include if possible: total length; body bulk; shape; bill, eye, and leg characteristics; color and pattern of plumage, etc. Stress field marks): First saw bird from below and slightly behind, I was attracted by very light - appearing white - unmarked underparts. 1/2-spread tail revealed what looked like white on outer tail feathers. Bird to me, was obviously a warbler by size, shape, proportions and behavior. At no time did I get an impression of wings. I was puzzled by the combination of white underparts

647-82-19

and white on outer tail feathers. Thought flight from above might give this impression, but kept watching and the mark on the tail was distinctive. The bird turned several times to reveal the supercilious line on a grayish head, and a darkish line through the eye, and a very slender, sharp bill: the perfect look of a Tennessee. Back color was not clearly seen, but it was dull. As the bird flitted about, I could see parts of the back and the wings, and there appeared no markings of any kind. I knew I was looking at a Tennessee having seen many of them in the East, yet I never remembered noticing white on the tail before. When I got home, I found that indeed, they have white on inner veins of outer tail feathers toward the tip, thus observed from below as the tail was half spread.

16. Voice:

not heard.

17. Similar species (include how they are eliminated by your observations):

The only similar species is Warbling Vireo, & eliminated because this bird was a warbler. The bill was fainter and the bird was much too active - often with a 1/2-spread tail. The whole bird appeared smaller and daintier than W. Vireo. Also, the underparts were too white and the head markings were too strong. The clear areas on the outer tail feathers was very different than any vireo might show.

18. Photographs (Was it photographed? By whom? Attached?):

Trees were active with warblers. Some Solitary Vireos, but I saw no Warbling Vireos here at this time.

19. Previous experience with this species:

Repeated experience, spring and fall in Pa. and Ohio, but 15-20 years ago.

20. Aids: List books, illustrations, birders, etc. used in identification

a) at time of observation:

No books.

b) after observation:

Peterson, also Oberholser's acct. in Bird Life of Texas and Chapman's in Birds of Eastern North America.

21. This description written from: \_\_\_\_\_ notes made during observation; \_\_\_\_\_ notes made after observation;  memory.

22. Are you positive of your identification? yes. If not, explain: I wish I

had seen the color of the back more clearly, but what I had seen was quite clear and sufficient for identification.

23. Signature of reporter:

Lawrence B. McQueen

24. Date and time of writing this account:

3 May 82, 5 PM